
Multimodal strategies for affirmations and rejections: Evidence from co-speech gesture in German.

Cornelia Loos¹ & Sophie Repp²

¹Universität Hamburg, ²Universität zu Köln

cornelia.loos@uni-hamburg.de, sophie.repp@uni-koeln.de

Polar response particles like *yes* and *no* may express the affirmation and rejection of a previous utterance and thus serve a speech-act-signaling function. The particles may also express the polarity of the response clause. In responses to negative antecedents, the two functions come apart, so that ambiguity may arise:

- (1) *Antecedent:* Peter hat die Wette **nicht** gewonnen. ‚Peter has **not** won the bet.‘
Response: a. **Ja/Nein**, hat er nicht. *ja* = affirmation, *nein* = negative polarity
b. **Ja/Nein**, hat er. *nein* = rejection, *ja* = positive polarity

In view of recent studies on multi-modal communication, the issue arises if co-speech gestures might be exploited for disambiguation. Head movements have been claimed to be equivalent to spoken response particles in that they encode affirmation and rejection [2], and absolute polarity [3]. Data from Mandarin [4], Catalan and Russian [5] suggest that head nods can encode positive absolute polarity. Brow movements are another multimodal strategy observed in rejections. Brow raising occurs with increased frequency in rejections of negative antecedents [4,5,6], brow furrowing in rejections in general [6]. This study investigates the interplay of co-speech gesture and response elements in signaling affirmation/rejection and polarity in German. We conducted a discourse completion task with 24 speakers who watched 48 dialogues between two characters that ended in a positive/negative assertion. Speakers completed the dialogues by affirming or rejecting the assertion. All data have been annotated for movement/position of head, brows, hands, shoulder, torso and nose. Statistical analyses are ongoing; we expect head movements to align with the particles *ja/nein*, which in German preferentially express the speech act [1]. We also expect alignment for unambiguous speech-act-signaling response elements; in rejections with *doch*, head nods might occur. For brow movements we expect furrowing in rejections.

References: [1] Claus/Meijer/Repp/Krifka (2017). Puzzling response particles: an experimental study on the German answering system. *Sem & Prag* 10(19), 1-52. [2] Jakobson (1972). Motor signs for ‘yes’ and ‘no’. *Lang in Soc*, 1, 91–96. [3] Esipova (2021). Polar Responses in Russian across Modalities and across interfaces. *Jrl. Slav Ling*, 29. [4] Li/González-Fuente/Prieto/Espinal (2016). Is Mandarin Chinese a truth-based language? Rejecting responses to negative assertions and questions. *Front. Psych.* 7, 1967, 1-10. [5] González-Fuente/Tubau/Espinal/Prieto (2015). Is there a universal answering strategy for rejecting negative propositions? Typological evidence on the use of prosody and gesture. *Front. Psych.* 6(899), 1-16. [6] Loos/Steinbach/Repp. (2019). Affirming and rejecting assertions in German Sign Language (DGS). *Proc. Sinn und Bedeutung* 24, 1-19.